Exhibit 3

	Page 1						
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
2	FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA						
3	~~~~~~~~~~~~~						
4	SHAUNA WILLIAMS, et al.,						
5	Plaintiffs,						
6	vs. Case No. 23-CV-1057						
7	REPRESENTATIVE DESTIN						
8	HALL, etc., et al.,						
9	Defendants.						
10	~~~~~~~~~~~~~						
11	NORTH CAROLINA STATE						
12	CONFERENCE OF THE NAACP, et al.,						
13	Plaintiffs,						
14	vs. Case No. 23-CV-1104						
15	PHILIP BERGER, etc., et al.,						
16	Defendants.						
17	~~~~~~~~~~~~~						
18	Deposition of						
19	DEBORAH D. MAXWELL						
20	Volume II						
21							
	Thursday, October 31, 2024						
22	10:00 a.m.						
23							
	Taken via videoconference						
24							
25	Genevie Del Valle, Court Reporter						

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	APPEARANCES.
3	Hilary Harris Klein, Esq.
,	Lily Talerman, Esq.
4	SOUTHERN COALITION FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE
	1415 West Highway 54
5	Durham, North Carolina 27707
	Hilaryhklein@scsj.org
6	Lily@scsj.org ,
7	On behalf of the Plaintiffs;
8	
	Erika Prouty, Esq.
9	BAKER & HOSTETLER
	200 Civic Center Drive, Suite 1200
10	Columbus, Ohio 43215
	Eprouty@bakerlaw.com,
11	
	On behalf of the Defendants.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Veritext Legal Solutions

	Pa	ge 3
1	WITNESSINDEX	
2		PAGE
3	EXAMINATION	
	DEBORAH D. MAXWELL	
4	BY MS. PROUTY	4
5	EXAMINATION	
	DEBORAH D. MAXWELL	
6	BY MS. KLEIN	132
7		
	EXHIBIT INDEX	
8		
	EXHIBIT	PAGE
9		
	Exhibit 6, Voting records	20
10		
	Exhibit 7, Decision summaries	107
11		
	Exhibit 8, North Carolina NAACP's	
12	website leadership page	115
13	Exhibit 9, NAACP Meet the Team	
	website page	117
14		
	Exhibit 10, Second Affidavit of	
15	Deborah Dicks Maxwell, President of	
	the North Carolina State Conference	
16	of the NAACP	120
17	Exhibit 11, Join the NAACP webpage	141
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
ر ک		

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Page	4
------	---

THE	COUR	RT F	REPORTER	?: (Can	we	have	а
stipulation	on t	he	record	tha	t I	may	z swe	ar
the witness	in r	emo	otely?					

MS. KLEIN: NAACP plaintiffs agree to a remote swearing in of the witness.

MS. PROUTY: Yes. She -- this is a continuation of a depo, so she's been under oath. So I think if we just renew that, that's fine. But we consent to a remote swearing in.

DEBORAH D. MAXWELL, of lawful age, called by the Defendants for the purpose of cross-examination, as provided by the Rules of Civil Procedure, being by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, deposed and said as follows:

EXAMINATION OF DEBORAH D. MAXWELL

BY MS. PROUTY:

Q. Good morning, President Maxwell. Thank you for being here today. As a reminder, my name is Erika Prouty, counsel for the legislative defendants. We spoke last week at the first part of your deposition.

I won't go through all the instructions we went through last week. I will just remind you

Page 5 of 9

2.2

Page 68

paragraph of your affidavit states that you have at least one member in -- I think it's four different senate districts, I think it's 12 different house districts, and it's five different congressional districts?

Do you see that?

- A. Yes, I see.
- Q. But this paragraph does not state how many total members the NAACP --
- 10 A. Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. -- has identified in this lawsuit.

So my questions are simply trying to

understand if you know, sitting here today, how

many total members there are that the NAACP has

identified for this lawsuit?

- A. I cannot give you a numerical designation today.
 - Q. And of those -- that total number of members, can you give me an estimate of how many you would be able to name today?

Again, I'm not asking for the names. Do you know how many names you would be able to identify today?

A. I'm just counting the districts. Give me a moment. I would just say at least one member out of the areas today.

Page 77

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. So again, this is the interrogatory response to interrogatory number 4. The house districts at -- where the NAACP has identified members are listed here, and there's also District 71.

So the complaint had stated that the NAACP had identified members in House District 8 and 25, and those districts are missing from this response.

Can you explain why Districts 8 and 25 were listed in the complaint, but are not listed in this interrogatory number 4?

- A. It might be membership because we did identify people within districts.
- Q. What do you mean it might be membership?
- 16 A. It has to be continuous.
 - Q. So there were members who you had identified in the complaint, and then they were no longer members as of the time of this interrogatory response?
 - A. Possibly. I'm trying to think that -- why that is listed and they are not there, and that did occur. I cannot say which district or which house or whatever at this time.
 - Q. Did you determine that the North Carolina NAACP

Page 80

A. Membership must have been identified meeting the criteria of where they resided.

Q. So did you have members who dropped out when you were preparing the interrogatory response and then new members were added when you were preparing this affidavit?

MS. KLEIN: Objection.

You can answer to the best of your ability.

- A. To the best of my ability, yes, we had members who became ineligible or some who did not wish to participate.
- Q. Can you tell me whether these are the same members over the course of the litigation?
- A. No. I just knew, you know, people met the criteria, lived in the area, and then said no.
- Q. And that's because membership is fluid, right?
- 18 | A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 19 Q. And when you said they said no, what do you mean?
 - A. They chose not to be a participant, which is their God-given right.
 - Q. So you had members who were at one time willing to be a participant, but then who no longer wished to be a participant; is that right?

MS. KLEIN: Objection.

Page 8 of 9

Page 170 1 2 3 4 CERTIFICATE 5 The State of Ohio,) County of Cuyahoga.) 6 7 I, Genevie del Valle, a Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, authorized to 8 administer oaths and to take and certify 9 depositions, do hereby certify that the above-named witness was by me, before the giving 10 of their deposition, first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 11 truth; that the deposition as above-set forth was reduced to writing by me by means of stenotypy, 12 and was later transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that this is a true record of the 13 testimony given by the witness; that the deponent or a party requested that the deposition be 14 reviewed by the deponent; that said deposition was taken at the aforementioned time, date and 15 place, pursuant to notice or stipulations of counsel; that I am not a relative or employee or attorney of any of the parties, or a relative or 16 employee of such attorney or financially 17 interested in this action. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal of office, at Cleveland, Ohio, this 15th day of November 2024. 19 21

20

Denevie del Valle

22

Genevie del Valle

Notary Public, State of Ohio

23

My commission expires September 28, 2028

24 2.5

Veritext Legal Solutions